Appl. No. 10/620,579 Amdt. sent October 5, 2005 Reply to Office Action of June 6, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-8 are pending. Claims 3-8 are allowed.

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1 and 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,129,528. A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

George B. F. Yee Reg. No. 37,478

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300

Attachments GBFY:cmm 60574564 v1